What was Ellen G. White’s view of research? This is a relevant question for Adventist education as a whole, and for Adventist students and teachers engaged in research in all areas of study. In nearly every discipline, research extends the frontiers of knowledge. Teachers are expected to be familiar with research methods and approaches, while students are to conduct research, often as an academic requirement. Accordingly, Seventh-day Adventist schools at various levels have incorporated research competencies as integral components of instructional programs and as requirements for continuous appointment or advancement in rank for tertiary professors.

At the same time, the Adventist educational system operates within a distinctive framework, one that maintains that biblical truth is central to the pursuit of knowledge and that espouses a special relationship to the writings of Ellen G. White. These writing delineate a paradigm for Adventist education, described in such works as *Education* and *Fundamentals of Christian Education*, among others.

In Ellen White’s writings, we encounter repeated references to research—in all, 319 instances of the word *research* or its derivatives. Of these, 123 are textually distinct statements, of which 92 are conceptually unique. As one examines these references, certain statements by Ellen White may appear to be contradictory, at least at first glance. To illustrate, the following statements seem to place research in a negative light:

- “To many, scientific research has become a curse.”
- “Scientific research in which God is not acknowledged [is] making skeptics of thousands.”
- “Very little of the study and research which is so wearying to the mind furnishes anything that will make one a successful laborer for souls.”

On the other hand, there are also statements that clearly favor research, such as these:

- “In order to understand the truth of God, there is need of deep research.”
- “The word of God must be studied, and this requires thought and prayerful research.”
- “Scientific research opens to the mind vast fields of thought and infor-
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mation, enabling us to see God in His created works.”

Such statements create a dilemma. While one could simply reject one view and embrace the other, a better approach may be to integrate these statements into a more comprehensive understanding, taking into consideration source and context. It is this approach that we will endeavor to develop, particularly regarding the role of research in the purpose and practice of Adventist education.

**Use of the Term Research**

Of the 92 conceptually unique statements in the writings of Ellen White that address research, 58 are positive while 21 could be categorized as negative references, with the remainder being neutral. Thus, Ellen White referred favorably to research nearly three times as frequently as she warned about it. Many, although not all, of the negative quotations deal with certain approaches in scientific or literary research. On the other hand, many of the positive references focus on biblical research, although favorable statements describe other types of research, as well.

In Ellen White’s writings, certain phrases paralleled the term *research*. These included expressions such as: “painstaking effort,” “persevering inquiry,” “vigorous thought,” “earnest study,” “fervent prayer,” and “patient reflection.” The word *research* itself was often accompanied by modifiers such as: *deep, careful, extensive, vigorous, and honest.*

**Individuals Involved in Research**

Ellen White associated biblical persons with research. She noted that Adam was to develop his mental faculties through research of “the mysteries of the visible universe.” Solomon also engaged in diligent research, focusing primarily on the natural world. From this study, Solomon’s knowledge and love for God increased. Nevertheless, a flaw arose in Solomon’s approach as he began to cultivate a sense of superiority and self-importance. When Solomon finally recognized his condition, he assessed the results of this research as “altogether vanity.”

In the New Testament, Christ admonished a lawyer to engage in “clearer and more critical research” in order to discover truth. The disciple Peter was reproved for not researching his own heart and, in consequence, denying his Master. Writing to the Corinthian believers, Paul declared that he had fed them with milk rather than solid spiritual food (1 Corinthians 3:2). Ellen White noted that these believers “were living on a low level, dwelling on the surface truth which call for . . . no deep research.”

After the Great Disappointment in 1844, Adventist pioneers carefully reviewed the assumptions and conclusions of their prophetic research to uncover any errors of interpretation. Ellen White stated that through this same careful inquiry, early Adventists derived the doctrines they held as truths, and thus the beliefs of the Seventh-day Adventist Church were established with clarity and harmony.

In two personal letters, Ellen White counseled individuals regarding research. In 1879, she encouraged her son Edson to study the Bible deeply, advising that his ability to understand the Scriptures would be limited by a lack of biblical research. In 1904, confronting the heresy of pantheism promoted by Dr. John H. Kellogg, the denomination’s leading health reformer, she wrote, “Your putting your mind to research of science is dangerous business, and there is not any warning too strong to be given to withhold you from this field you have entered. I tell you the truth, that if you keep on in the course you have been pursuing for years in research in so-called science, you will lose your soul.”

Overall, in each instance in which Ellen White associated individuals with research, save for two—Solomon and Kellogg, the context is positive. Yet even in the negative cases, Ellen White noted that the result of research could be constructive if properly focused and conducted. Writing to Kellogg regarding his pantheistic views, for example, she stated: “Let your scientific researches be turned into a wholesome channel.”

**Biblical Research**

Many of Ellen White’s most positive statements occur in the context of biblical research.

*Importance.* The Bible was given, Ellen White asserted, that it might be researched, with deep study of Scripture being required to attain an understanding of God’s truth. Neglecting biblical research would come “at the peril of our souls.”

Ellen White also warned that it was not enough to rely on the thoughts and discoveries of others, trusting in their interpretation of God’s Word. Each must investigate for himself or herself. She described “a most wonderful laziness” wherein some were willing that “others should search the Scriptures for them; and they take the truth from their lips as a positive fact, but they do not know it to be Bible truth through their own individual research.”

Biblical research is also indispen-
sable to educate others. Ellen White counseled teachers, “Open the Bible to our youth, draw their attention to its hidden treasures, teach them to search for its jewels of truth, and they will gain from their research such strength of intellect as the study of science and of philosophy could not impart.” She noted that many students “have been sadly disappointed in our college. They expected to find help in their research of the Scriptures that they have not had.”

**Researcher traits.** Biblical researchers are to bring various traits to their study. They must be diligent, honest, and humble, recognizing that there is always “an infinity beyond.” They are to be careful, thoughtful, and patient, and to lay aside prejudices and preconceived ideas before commencing their research, proceeding in the spirit of Christ.

Ellen White mentioned frequently that the researcher should be a person of prayer. “By earnest prayer and diligent research,” she declared, “God’s workers may become giants in an understanding of Bible doctrine, and [gain] an appreciation of the practical lessons of Christ.” Students were also to obtain a Bible education “through prayer and close, deep research.”

**Methods.** The methodology to be employed is key. One approach is to read through Scripture to develop an understanding of the whole, particularly in terms of integrating themes. Another method is to compare passages relating to a given topic and clarify interrelationships.

A further approach is to focus on a specific portion of Scripture, digging deeply beneath the surface. While “some portions of the Scriptures are indeed too plain to be misunderstood,” Ellen White observed that “there are others whose meaning does not lie on the surface, to be seen at a glance.” These vital principles can be obtained only by diligent research.

**Topics.** While any portion of the Bible may be profitably studied, particularly fertile topics include the origin of the earth, the introduction and consequences of sin, and the origin of the law of God, especially the fourth commandment. Indeed, Ellen White highlighted “the great Teacher’s wisdom in limiting the measure of our researches in earthly directions,” that He might call “the attention of all to His legislation from the very foundation of our world,—to a code of morals, pure, simple, and practical.”

Research of the teachings of Jesus will also yield new insights. The researcher is invited to trace “the grand theme of redemption,” particularly in relation to the question, “What shall I do to be saved?” It is impossible to deplete the topics for biblical research, for “a thousand years of research would not exhaust the hidden treasure it contains.” Throughout life and eternity, there will always be knowledge as yet undiscovered.

**Results.** Biblical research “will be richly repaid.” The mind of the researcher will be strengthened with a correct knowledge of the truth. Such deep and earnest biblical research, Ellen White maintained, combined with fervent prayer, will enable persons to become “rooted and grounded in the faith.”

Overall, biblical research has yielded “the science of the theology of truth” that has formed the bedrock of belief. This careful, earnest study has enabled researchers to “become lights in the world, shining amid the moral darkness.” And there is an effect on the researcher. “The better [the Bible] is known by research, the more highly it is prized.”

**Negative cases.** On a few occasions, Ellen White wrote negatively about biblical research. She observed, for example, that it was “easy to put a false interpretation on Scripture, placing stress on passages and assigning to them a meaning, which, at first investigation, may appear true, but which by further search, will be seen to be false.”

She also expressed concern that some might research the Bible in order to promote themselves, endeavoring “to find a new position and to advance new views in opposition to the established faith of the body.” In a letter to A. F. Ballenger, for example, she wrote,
“There is with you, my brother, a desire to bring in something new and to take the minds captive, and yourself be supposed to be a deep man in research of the Word, when the facts are that you are not rightly interpreting the Word.”

On the other hand, Ellen White did not advocate avoiding controversial issues. When some maintained that the “controverted question” of the interpretation of the two laws should be avoided, she stated, “If we have held as truth some points in doctrine that will not bear close criticism and investigation, it is our duty . . . to patiently come to the Word of God in an humble, prayerful, inquiring mind.”

She then added, “The truth will lose nothing of its force or beauty or power through research, testing every point which we have considered as truth, if we preserve the meekness of Christ in our research.”

**Scientific Research**

At a cursory glance, it might seem that Ellen White regarded scientific research as misleading and detrimental. That view, however, is far removed from her intent.

**Support.** We should first note that Ellen White made very positive statements regarding scientific research. She wrote, for example, that “God has permitted a flood of light to be poured upon the world in discoveries in science.”

She affirmed that “God is the author of science” and that vast fields of knowledge are open before the researcher where he or she may behold God in His created works. She asserted that through the study of science, a researcher may obtain a knowledge of God and discover truths “of the wise and beneficent laws through which He works.”

Ellen White also recommended that the church’s educational programs incorporate research in the sciences. She advocated, for instance, that “instead of confining their study to that which men have said or written,” students are to be directed “to the sources of truth, to the vast fields opened for research in nature and revelation.”

**Ellen White advocated . . . that “instead of confining their study to that which men have said or written,” students are to be directed “to the sources of truth, to the vast fields opened for research in nature and revelation.”**

wherein God is not acknowledged.

“Those who prate about science and casting God’s Word in the shade . . . cannot understand or read nature without the Bible to interpret and explain it.” She then affirmed, “The Bible is not only the revealer of God to man, but his grand interpreter as the God of nature. The Bible . . . has given us the key which unlocks the mysteries of creation.”

Ellen White expressed her greatest concern about situations where the Bible and the findings of science seem to be in conflict. She was troubled when researchers attempted to test the veracity of the Bible by search in nature and revelation.” To such a student, “scientific research will open vast fields of thought and information. As he contemplates the things of nature, a new perception of truth comes to him.”

**Concerns.** Nevertheless, her endorsements of scientific research were not without qualification. Fundamentally, Ellen White did not elevate science above the Bible, but maintained that Scripture occupied the higher order. She warned that there is imminent danger in a scientific study of Christ in our research.”

Ellen White expressed her great concern about situations where the Bible and the findings of science seem to be in conflict. She was troubled when researchers attempted to test the veracity of the Bible by “their standard of ‘science falsely so called.’” She maintained that scientific research is detrimental if it concludes that the Bible is in error, with such an approach ultimately resulting in skepticism and infidelity, particularly among the youth.

Rather, human ideas of science are to be examined from the vantage of Scripture as the infallible standard. She observed that “even the greatest minds, if not guided by the Word of God in their research, become bewildered in the attempts to investigate the relations of science and revelation.”

**Risks.** Ellen White viewed several factors as significant dangers in scientific research. The first related to the fallacy of assuming that all facts pertinent to a given case have been gathered or that the evidence presented is overpowering. As a result, the deductions of science are taught as if they are indisputable. Ellen White reminded her readers that Scripture calls such men “fools.” Such people profess to have incontrovertible data when they have only a “smattering of knowledge.” Even if one were to research a scientific topic throughout eternity, there would still be relevant information yet to be discovered.

A second factor is the potential for the misinterpretation of findings. As a result, the Bible is made to appear uncertain and untrustworthy when it contradicts scientific data, with doubts soon becoming seen as facts by those who entertain them. Faith in the Bible is destroyed as Scripture first appears questionable, then objectionable. When science is exalted above its Author, both the researcher and those who study the research, particularly young people, are led astray. It is in this context that scientific research becomes a curse.

A further scenario arises when the researcher bases his or her premise upon a secular point of view that denies the supernatural or that seeks to limit the power of God. If assumptions purposefully exclude God from the basis of study, the researcher “will assuredly come to wrong conclusions.” It is such “scientific re-
search in which God is not acknowledged” that is “a positive injury.”

Ellen White warned that when people endeavor to base their study solely upon natural principles, they will soon doubt the reliability of biblical history, then question the existence of God, and without the anchor of Scripture, will finally shipwreck on the rocks of agnosticism or atheism.

A combined approach. Notwithstanding, Ellen White maintained that because “the book of nature and the book of revelation bear the impress of the same master mind,” they cannot but be in harmony. True science, she added, while employing different methods and terminology, will bring from its research “nothing that, rightly understood, conflicts with divine revelation.”

Ellen White believed, for example, that the process of education was to train students “to be thinkers, and not mere reflectors of other people’s thought.” She cautioned about students spending many years in school studying the results of research while at the same time neglecting the Bible. She warned that such reliance on the research of scholars, apart from the Word of God, can lead to erroneous conclusions to the point that one can “become enthused with theories that are of satanic origin.”

Similarly, Ellen White warned that those who share knowledge with others “must not be content to depend upon the researches of other minds,” but must conduct careful research for themselves. She further cautioned that relying solely on research into what others have written could promote intellectual pride and limit one’s usefulness. “Very little of the money invested in piling up volumes for study and research,” she wrote, “furnishes anything that will make one a successful laborer for souls.”

Other Types of Research

Ellen White also addressed other types of research, such as historical research, documentary analysis, and ethnographic studies, although not extensively. She noted, for example, that Jacques Lefèvre, through his research into ancient literature, discovered the Bible for himself and, in so doing, helped usher in the Reformation. She also stated that the missionary Joseph Wolff, who traveled extensively in Africa, Asia, and the Middle East, was able to witness effectively on his return by presenting lectures based on his research.

We should also note that Ellen White, on several occasions, incorporated in her writings excerpts from works that included in their title the word research or a derivative. For example, in her book The Great Controversy, she quoted Researches and Missionary Labors by Joseph Wolff and Ecclesiastical Researches by Robert Robinson.

While Ellen White held that “it is perfectly right to gather ideas from other minds,” she also warned against taking those ideas and merely reiterating them. “Make these ideas your own,” she advised, “frame the arguments yourselves, from your own study and research.”

Guidance for Researchers

Sources of guidance. We are not left alone in the research endeavor. Ellen White affirmed that “Jesus has promised us a guide in our research” and that the Holy Spirit will “enlighten the mind and guide the research” of those who search for truth. “We must not only search,” she clarified, “we must ask God for wisdom to aid us in searching. The truths essential for us to know are too deeply buried to be discovered by unaided human research.”

The Bible, in turn, should illuminate research into the natural world. Ellen White warned that even the greatest minds, “if not guided by the word of God in their research, be-
come bewildered; they cannot comprehend the Creator or His works.”

If these divine resources are ignored or rejected, Satan, pretending to be a messenger of light, will present intriguing topics for study, and the researcher will be led to accept error as truth and “unite with seducers.”

Ellen White recognized the value of prayer and communion with God can a researcher escape this fatal trap.

Specific counsel. Ellen White also gave explicit guidance to various types of individuals. Professionals, for example, were admonished to critically evaluate articles in their academic journals. Ellen White saw peril, for example, when physicians would simply read and accept approaches to the treatment of disease “without sifting every statement.” As a result, they might weave these ideas into their practice, “experiment upon human lives, and sacrifice not a few.”

Ellen White advised that students obtain in as short a time as possible a personal knowledge of Christ and Scripture through prayer and deep research. The opposite situation, however, could also occur. Students could spend long years in research without ever gaining a knowledge of God. Absorbed in their study of “books containing the results of human research,” they might neglect the Bible. More perilous, they might read material that casts doubt on the Word of God. In the minds of such youth, faith would ultimately perish as they accepted infidel statements as truth.

To avoid these pitfalls, students should be trained to think for themselves, developing the habit of reflective reading.

Recognition of limitations. While Ellen White recognized the value of research, she was also aware of its limitations. One constraint was that of partial knowledge. She noted that given the reality of a brief lifespan, limited sphere, and restricted vision, the researcher may not grasp all relevant facts. This limitation is evident in the way conclusions are frequently revised or discarded. It may also be seen in the conflicting theories promoted by various researchers. Ignoring this constraint is particularly detrimental when researchers “attempt to judge the Creator and His works by their own imperfect knowledge.”

Another limitation is that of restricted scope. Ellen White held that there are matters which even the most careful research cannot adequately explain. The “ways and works of the Creator,” for example, present mysteries which even the most scholarly research cannot interpret.

Similarly, the human mind has insufficient capability to comprehend the existence of God and the entrance of sin. Neither can one explain by scientific principles the Holy Spirit’s influence upon the heart nor the mystery of godliness.

Given that research is powerless to fully explain certain phenomena in human life or in the natural world, how foolish, then, to attempt to controvert God’s existence, character, or law, or to conclude that some portions of Scripture are inspired while others are not. It is this speculative research into God’s nature, attributes, or prerogatives that “will yield no valuable results and can be pursued only at the peril of the soul.”

Consequently, researchers must not only believe what they can understand. They are to recognize that, beneath the simple truths of the plan of salvation, there lie mysteries “that overpower the mind in its research, yet inspire the sincere seeker for truth with reverence and faith.” Here reason must bow before divine revelation. Even a “lifetime of prayer and research will leave much unexplored and unexplained.”

The spirit of research. Ellen White advocated that researchers bring to their study a spirit of inquiry, both open-mindedness and determination. She noted that while prior study gathered “very much of the treasure that lies near the surface,” research properly conducted, with a mind “kept open and constantly searching, . . . will find hidden treasures of truth—some revealed in new aspect, others they had overlooked.”

Ellen White also encouraged humility. While observing that God does not want us “to be less acute, less inquiring, or less intelligent,” she recommended that “in all our researches, we should remember that arrogance is not greatness, nor is conceit knowledge. Human pride is an evidence, not of strength, but of weakness. It reveals not wisdom, but folly. To exalt reason unduly is to abase it. To place the human in rivalry with the divine, is to make it contemptible.”

In sum, while certainly recognizing its limitations and potential pitfalls, Ellen White was a strong advocate of research. She asserted that deep research is required in order to understand God’s truth. She affirmed that research is essential for the Christian life, if researchers “look to God at every step, the creature directed by the Creator.”

Consequently, as Christian educators, we are to welcome research and incorporate research activities throughout the educational experience, provided that we likewise recognize that there is One who stands above all.
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